

To: Personal Email/Ex. 6
Cc: R8EISC[R8EISC@epa.gov]
From: Brogden, Rose
Sent: Wed 8/12/2015 8:16:05 PM
Subject: Re: ... isn't there black and white data that proves Superfund status is mandated...Superfund Designation

EPA is committed to working closely with response agencies and state and local officials to ensure the safety of citizens, respond to concerns and to evaluate impact to water contaminated by the Gold King Mine Release. EPA is sharing information as quickly as possible with the public as experts work to evaluate any effects the spill may have on drinking water, public health, agriculture, fish and wildlife.

Please see our website for the latest information: <http://www2.epa.gov/goldkingmine>

Regular updates will be posted as they are available.

EPA Hotline: 844-607-9700

From: M. Sherry Bowman <Personal Email/Ex. 6>
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2015 7:21 PM
To: R8EISC
Subject: Superfund Designation

Is it usual that a private or public company can pursue the EPA to NOT categorize a polluted site as a superfund site? How is it that Silverton's public was able to stall this designation? I've read a lot of criticism about how the EPA is handling the Gold King disaster, but isn't there black and white data that proves Superfund status is mandated? If not, why? The EPA should not be bullied by companies, politicians or the public. You have a job to do, it's not easy. Just do it! How can I help?

Kind regards,
M. Sherry Bowman

Personal Email/Ex. 6

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE smartphone